



SOUTHERN ASSOCIATION OF COLLEGES AND SCHOOLS
COMMISSION ON COLLEGES

1866 Southern Lane • Decatur, Georgia 30033-4097

Telephone 404/679-4500 Fax 404/679-4558

www.sacscoc.org

July 11, 2005

Dr. Stephen C. Scott
President
Wake Technical Community College
9101 Fayetteville Road
Raleigh, NC 27603-5696

Dear Dr. Scott:

The following action regarding your institution was taken at the June 2005 meeting of the Commission on Colleges:

The Commission reaffirmed accreditation and requested a First Monitoring Report due April 14, 2006, addressing the visiting committee's recommendation applicable to the following referenced *Principles*:

CR 2.12 (Quality Enhancement Plan)

The QEP was judged "Acceptable" by the Reaffirmation Visiting Committee and no Recommendations were made. The Committee offered "Comments for Strengthening the QEP" that included the following:

1. Provide a stronger conceptual model that clearly delineates interventions and how they may be expected to have an impact on student learning;
2. Operationalize the core values in terms of measurable student learning objectives and incorporate appropriate classroom and program assessment that will yield evidence of the impact on student learning for each of the core values; and
3. Provide more detailed action plans that include the necessary financial and human resources, number of students to be involved, and performance targets or benchmarks for student growth to be achieved in each of the five areas in the focus of the QEP.

Provide information about how the College has addressed these specific suggestions in strengthening its QEP and any other ways the College has incorporated the comments of the Reaffirmation Visiting Committee in improving its QEP.

All institutions are requested to submit an "Impact Report of the Quality Enhancement Plan on Student Learning" five years after their reaffirmation review. Institutions will be notified regarding its specific due date. For more information regarding the Impact Report, access www.sacscoc.org/commpub1.asp#Policies and click onto "Reports Submitted for Committee or Commission Review."

Guidelines for the additional report also are enclosed. Because it is essential that institutions follow these guidelines, **please make certain that those responsible for preparing the report receive the document. If they have questions about the format, contact the Commission**



Dr. Stephen C. Scott
July 11, 2005
Page Two

staff member assigned to your institution. When submitting your report, please send the original and **three copies** to your Commission staff member.

Please note that Federal regulations and Commission policy stipulate that an institution must remedy deficiencies within two years following the Commission's initial action on the institution. At the end of that two-year period, if the institution is not in compliance with the *Principles of Accreditation*, representatives from the institution may be required to appear before the Commission, or one of its standing committees, to answer questions as to why the institution should not be removed from membership. If the Commission determines good cause at that time, the Commission may extend the period for coming into compliance for a minimum of six months and a maximum of two years and must place the institution on Probation. If the Commission does not determine good cause, the institution must be removed from membership. (See enclosed Commission policy "Sanctions, Denial of Reaffirmation, and Removal from Membership.")

We appreciate your continued support of the activities of the Commission on Colleges. If you have questions, please contact the staff member assigned to your institution.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Rogers", written over the typed name.

James T. Rogers
Executive Director
Commission on Colleges

JTR:ssr

Enclosures

cc: Dr. W. Donald Crump